PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT/JP2003/012345

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

anslation internati	PATENT COOPERATION TRE	ATY
ansla	PCT	
INTERNATI	ONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMIN	ATION REPORT
	(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)	A. A
Applicant's or agent's file reference NUB-03-PCT		cation of Transmittal of Inter Examination Report (Form PCT/IPP
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/JP2003/012345 International Patent Classification (IPC) or n	26 September 2003 (26.09.2003)	27 September 2002 (27.09
A61C 11/00, 19/04		•
Applicant	NIHON UNIVERSITY	
This international preliminary exam and is transmitted to the applicant a	ination report has been prepared by this Interr	national Preliminary Examining Aut
•	_	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	sheets, including this cover s	heet.
	ied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications.	
	Administrative Instructions under the PCT).	•
These annexes consist of a to	otal of 21 sheets.	
3. This report contains indications rela	ting to the following items:	
I Basis of the report		
II Priority		
III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive st	ep and industrial applicability
IV \ Lack of unity of inv	rention	
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and explanations supporting such statement		ventive step or industrial applicabil
VI Certain documents cited		
	ne international application	
	s on the international application	
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of	_
	19:20031 19	May 2004 (19.05.2004)
26 September 2003 (26.0	15	1123 200 (15 100 200 1)
26 September 2003 (26.0 Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized officer	
<u> </u>	,	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2003/012345

I.	I. Basis of the report				
1.	With	regard to	the elements of the international application:*		
		the inte	rnational application as originally filed		
	\boxtimes	the desc	cription:		
		pages	1-3,10	5-43	, as originally filed
		pages			, filed with the demand
		pages	4-15	, filed with the letter of	23 February 2004 (23.02.2004)
	\boxtimes	the clair	me	.	
		pages	A = = 0.44.44.4	R 24-29 32-37	, as originally filed
		pages	2-5,7,9-14,16,1	······································	
		pages		,	, filed with the demand
		pages	1,6,8,15,17,19,22,23,30,31,38,40	. filed with the letter of	
	\square	the drav			
		pages	· ·	5	, as originally filed
		pages .	1-4		
		pages .			
	<u> </u>			, med with the letter of	
	t	_	nce listing part of the description:		
		pages .			
		pages			
		pages .		, filed with the letter of _	
 With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Author the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language 					is Authority in the language in which which is:
the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				ule 23.1(b)).	
	\square	the lang	guage of publication of the international applicatio	n (under Rule 48.3(b)).	
		the lang	guage of the translation furnished for the purpose.).	es of international preliminary	examination (under Rule 55.2 and/
3.	With	regard ninary ex	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the basis of the sequential control was carried out on the sequential control was carried out of the sequential control was carried out on the sequential control was carried out on the sequential control was carried out on the sequential control was carried out of the sequential control was carried out on the sequential control was carried out of the sequential control was carried out of	nce disclosed in the internaturence listing:	tional application, the international
		contain	ed in the international application in written form.		
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
		furnish	ed subsequently to this Authority in computer reac	lable form.	
		The sta	atement that the subsequently furnished writte tional application as filed has been furnished.	n sequence listing does not	go beyond the disclosure in the
		The state been fu	atement that the information recorded in compurarnished.	ter readable form is identical	to the written sequence listing has
4.	\boxtimes	The am	endments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		1	the description, pages		
		K	the claims, Nos. 20,21,39,41,42		
			the drawings, sheets/fig		
5.		This rep	oort has been established as if (some of) the amen the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplem	dments had not been made, sinental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	nce they have been considered to go
	in th	icement s is report '0.17).	sheets which have been furnished to the receiving as "originally filed" and are not annexed to	Office in response to an invita this report since they do no	ntion under Article 14 are referred to ot contain amendments (Rule 70.16
		•	ent sheet containing such amendments must be refe	erred to under item 1 and anne	xed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/JP03/12345

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
		the entire international application.				
	\boxtimes	claims Nos 17, 18				
	because	e:				
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
		•				
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nosare so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		·				
		the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
		no international search report has been established for said claims Nos				
2	. A mea	aningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid ence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:				
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.				
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.				
•						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/JP03/12345

IV. Lack of unity of invention		
1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:		
restricted the claims.		
paid additional fees.		
paid additional fees under protest.		
neither restricted nor paid additional fees.		
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.		
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is		
complied with.		
not complied with for the following reasons:		
The common "special technical feature" of the inventions of claims 1-14, 19, and 22-37 is "the external outlines of both the head of the prosthetic joint and the cavity of the prosthetic joint have the same shape as the outlines of the head of the temporomandibular joint and the cavity of the temporomandibular joint of the person from whom the impression was taken when preparing the mold of the upper teeth" and "the head of the prosthetic joint and the cavity of the prosthetic joint are constructed by a three-dimensional model formed by an imaging device."		
The common "special technical feature" of the inventions of claims 15, 16, and 40 is "the face bow main body is made of an X-ray permeable material and it also provides a marking member consisting of an X-ray non-permeable material opposed in a left-right direction to the center or vicinity thereof of the temporomandibular joint of the patient at a position anterior to the ear rods and a support member that supports each leg member of the marking member."		
The "special technical feature" of the invention of claim 38 is "providing a database that contains ideal-model data of the head of the temporomandibular joint and providing a data correcting means that corrects the three-dimensional image data of the temporomandibular joint member specified by data imaged by a CT device such that the outline of the temporomandibular joint specified by the data imaged by the CT device becomes a filled-in such that it approaches the ideal model when a comparison is performed between the exterior outline of the heads of the temporomandibular joint from among the temporomandibular joint members specified by the data imaged by the CT device and the corresponding ideal model in the database, and it is judged that the heads of the joint have more than a specified amount of wear."		
 4. Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report: all parts. the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-16, 19, 22-38, 40 		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/JP03/12345

V. Reasoned statement under Artic citations and explanations support		o novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-16, 19, 22-38, 40	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	4, 5, 15, 16, 24, 25, 31-38, 40	YES
	Claims	1-3, 6-14, 19, 22, 23, 26-30	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-16, 19, 22-38, 40	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

Document 1: JP 6-90967 A (Ormco Corp.) April 5, 1994

Document 2: JP 48-10869 B1 (Yasunari Hobosu) April 7, 1973

Document 3: JP 41-16679 B1 (Kenneth H. Swanson) September 21, 1966 Document 4: JP 11-249548 A (Masayuki Koizumi) September 17, 1999

Document 5: JP 41-7034 B1 (Charles Edward Stewart) April 19, 1966

Document 6: WO 00/59401 A1 (Lorenzo Battistutta) October 12, 2000

Document 7: JP 9-220237 A (Shiyuukai Foundation) August 26, 1997

Document 8: JP 2002-264222 A (Minolta, Ltd.) September 18, 2002

Based on the descriptions in documents 1-3 cited in the international search report, the inventions of claims 1-3 and 6-13 lack an inventive step.

Documents 1-3 describe an occludator with variable shapes for the head of the joint and the cavity of the joint.

More specifically, based on the description on page 7, left column, lines 15 to 24 of document 1, this examination finds that it is suggested that the shapes of the head of the temporomandibular joint and the cavity of the temporomandibular joint approach the shapes of the temporomandibular joint of the patient.

In addition, this examination finds that the means of fixation such as described in claims 6-9 are conventional means of fixation, and their use in the inventions of documents 1-3 is obvious to persons skilled in the art.

Based on the descriptions in documents 1-3 and 5 cited in the international search report, the invention of claim 14 lacks an inventive step.

It is obvious to persons skilled in the art to combine the occludator described in documents 1-3 with the face bow that has ear rods described in document 5.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT	PCT/JP03/12345	
Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)		
Continuation of Box III:		
No international search report was prepared for the face bow that "provides a restricting mechanism that allows the left-right pair of leg members to slide only in the left-right direction" described in claims 17 and 18.		
In addition, this examination finds no technical relationship that contains the same or corresponding "special technical features" between the invention of claim 17 and the inventions of claims 1-16, 19, 22-38, and 40.		
	a``	

PCT/JP03/12345

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Supplemental Box
(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of Box V:

Based on the descriptions in documents 1-4 and 7 cited in the international search report, the inventions of claims 19, 22, 23, and 26-30 lack an inventive step.

In the occludators described in documents 1-3, it is obvious to persons skilled in the art to apply the technology described in document 4 of forming a temporomandibular joint by optical prototyping and to apply the technology in document 7 of selecting an optimal model by comparing the three-dimensional data obtained from the CT scan with the data contained in the database and performing optical prototyping based on that model.

Moreover, this examination finds no constitutional difference as an "object" between a temporomandibular joint in which a dissociable shape is formed as one unit and then dissociated thereafter and a temporomandibular joint that is simply formed.

The mounting means as described in claims 4, 5, 24 and 25 is not described in any of the documents cited in the ISR; nor is this obvious to persons skilled in the art.

None of the documents cited in the international search report describes the face bow of claims 15, 16, 31-37 and 40 that has a marking member that is formed of a material that is impermeable to the X-rays used in an X-ray or CT apparatus, and this matter is not obvious to persons skilled in the art.

None of the documents cited in the international search report describes making a decision that a joint head is worn and forming the joint head by adding a correction to the outline shape of the joint head as described in claim 38, and this matter is not obvious to persons skilled in the art.